### Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare



# SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE A TO BRIEF No. 59 Homework Starts with Home: Effects of Minnesota's Initiative to Address Student Homelessness

#### Risk Profile for HSWH Pilot Program Participants and Comparison Pool by Cohort

	School-Aged Program Participants		Comparison Students Pool		Matched Comparison Group	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
	n = 318	n = 150	n = 732,579	n = 872,241	n = 201	n = 107
Housing Variables Stayed in shelter Average number of nights spent in shelters Identified HHM	24% 20.75 34%	24% 31.12 47%	1% 0.59 3%	2% 0.92 4%	23% 22.14 39%	23% 22.38 48%
Income Variables Cash assistance (MFIP) Food stamps (SNAP)* School lunch status Free lunch Reduced price lunch Full pay	37% 47% 95% <1% 5%	33% 38% 94% 3% 3%	12% 37% 44% 6% 50%	13% 37% 48% 6% 46%	44% 53% 93% 0% 7%	34% 44% 94% 3% 3%
Education Variables Average annual attendance rate* Average annual number of schools attended* Suspended one or more times*	89% 1.66 39%	87% 1.53 32%	93% 1.20 9%	92% 1.28 10%	90% 1.55 29%	90% 1.68 15%
Other Variables Child protection services (lifetime)*	38%	47%	24%	27%	41%	51%

Note. HHM = Homeless and Highly Mobile; \*not included in propensity score model





## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE B TO BRIEF No. 59 Homework Starts with Home: Effects of Minnesota's Initiative to Address Student Homelessness

### Results of Research Question 3, A Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Mixed Effects Model Predicting Shelter Stays

Predictor	Shelter Stays				
Cohort 1	b	SE	Z	р	
Intercept	-6.81	0.62	-10.95	<0.001***	
Time (years since program start)	-0.19	0.11	-1.69	0.09	
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	0.16	0.70	0.24	0.81	
Time*Condition	0.09	0.14	0.63	0.53	
Cohort 2					
Intercept	4.35	0.23	19.24	<0.001***	
Time (years since program start)	-0.05	0.13	-0.40	0.69	
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	1.03	0.39	2.65	0.008**	
Time*Condition	-0.77	0.25	-0.31	0.002**	

**Note.** p<0.05\*, p<0.01\*\*, p<0.001\*\*\*





## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE C TO BRIEF No. 59 Homework Starts with Home: Effects of Minnesota's Initiative to Address Student Homelessness

### Results of Research Question 4 (Part 1), A Poisson Mixed Effects Model Predicting School Mobility

Predictor	School Mobi	lity (Number of	Schools per Aca	ademic Year)
Cohort 1	b	SE	Z	р
Intercept	0.44	0.04	10.55	<0.001***
Time (years since program start)	0.003	0.01	0.25	0.81
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	0.09	0.06	1.67	0.09
Time*Condition	-0.01	0.02	-0.69	0.49
Cohort 2				
Intercept	0.47	0.07	7.18	<0.001***
Time (years since program start)	0.02	0.03	0.84	0.40
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	-0.08	0.09	-0.83	0.41
Time*Condition	0.01	0.04	0.35	0.72

**Note.** p<0.05\*, p<0.01\*\*, p<0.001\*\*\*





## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE D TO BRIEF No. 59 Homework Starts with Home: Effects of Minnesota's Initiative to Address Student Homelessness

#### Results of Research Question 4 (Part 2), A Linear Mixed Model Predicting Attendance

Predictor	Attendance (Number of Absences per Academic Year)				
Cohort 1	b	SE	df	t	р
Intercept	14.49	1.34	837.66	10.79	<0.001***
Time (years since program start)	1.05	0.28	2019.01	3.76	<0.001***
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	-0.27	1.86	800.69	-0.15	0.88
Time*Condition	1.83	0.38	2002.54	3.78	<0.001***
Cohort 2					
Intercept	15.75	1.87	587.98	8.43	<0.001***
Time (years since program start)	1.03	0.61	785.61	1.68	0.09
Condition (1=program, 0=comparison)	-1.07	2.54	552.54	-0.42	0.67
Time*Condition	0.93	0.83	768.90	1.12	0.26

**Note.** p<0.05\*, p<0.01\*\*, p<0.001\*\*\*